



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/805,995

03/22/2004

Walter Szrek

S032 P00865-US1

1212

3017 7590 02/25/2008  
BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD.  
101 DYER STREET  
5TH FLOOR  
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

EXAMINER

IWARERE, OLUSEYE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/25/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/805,995	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SZREK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> OLUSEYE IWARERE	<b>Art Unit</b> 4127	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) OLUSEYE IWARERE. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JIM BARTA. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker (6,267,670).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney discussed and explained the proposed uniqueness of the invention and it's proposed distinctiveness from the prior art. No arguments were agreed to.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elaine Gort/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3627

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required